|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Insufficient**  **(S, F3-F1)** | **Threshold**  **(D3-D1)** | **Good**  **(C3-C1)** | **Very Good**  **(B3-B1)** | **Excellent**  **(A4-A1)** |
| **Application of Requirements Engineering Techniques** (for elicitation, analysis and specification specification) **(K1 / P1)** | | | | |
| Does not reach required threshold | Evidence presented showing application of an appropriate range of techniques considering multiple stakeholder groups. | Evidence presented showing application of an appropriate range of techniques addressing the needs of a range of different stakeholder groups. | Evidence presented showing effective application of a range of techniques that together result in a balanced response to the needs of a range of different stakeholder groups. | Evidence presented showing insightful application of a range of well-chosen techniques that together result in an effective requirements engineering process. |
|  |  |  |  | A3:   * This is professional, and up to the standards, and well manage, all the criteria met, nice work * Adequate evidence available, and given was so insightful * Leveraging by range of techniques * Well, can see requirement engineering process being followed * Application of tools and technicians are absolutely exceptional |
| **Project Management (T1)** | | | | |
| Does not reach **required** threshold | Project planning, monitoring and control techniques have been applied and an effort matrix has been produced. | Project planning, monitoring and control techniques have been systematically applied and an effort matrix produced. | Systematic application of project management techniques has enabled risk areas to be avoided. | Evidenced presented of a well-planned and effectively managed and documented team project. |
|  |  |  |  | A3:   * wonderful team synergy can reflect via this collaborative achievement * Well documented, inc SRS that would be very effective in this context * Planning is absolutely well , clearly reflecting by WBS |
| **Achievement of project goals (C1)** | | | | |
| Does not reach required threshold | Validated proto-type or model presented that addresses identified project goals in their organisational context. | Validated proto-type or model presented that provides a feasible solution to identified project goals in their organisational context. | Validated proto-type or model presented effectively addresses the issues identified through the requirements engineering process with due consideration for the organisational context. | Validated proto-type or model represents a feasible and appropriate solution to project goals taking account of the organisational context and the needs of different stakeholders. |
|  |  |  |  | A3:   * Awesome, great deal of real software feeling * True, some good stuff available in slides and thinking they would be able to implement it in real aspect too. * Sync with scope doc and team has interactive way of collecting feedbacks , its anyway a value addition to the customer |
| **Evaluation of Suitability of Software Solutions in an Organisational Context (C1)** | | | | |
| Does not reach required threshold | Proposed solution is evaluated in relation to organisational objectives with some consideration of organisational context. | Proposed solution is evaluated in relation to organisational objectives and key aspects of the organisational context. | Proposed solution is evaluated in relation to clearly articulated organisational objectives and takes into account all key aspects of the organisational context. | Insightful evaluation of proposed solution considers organisational objectives in full and reflects on all pertinent aspects of the organisational context. |
|  |  |  |  | A3:   * Awesome stuff, meeting client requirements with great value addition * This greatly handle by this team * They are being more interactive with the client |

**Table 2: Assessment Criteria**